

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.11240 OF 2006

19.06.2006

Between:

D.Ranga Reddy, S/o.Musal Reddy

... Petitioner

AND

The District Collector, Prakasam District, Ongole

And others

... Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.11240 OF 2006

ORDER:

The petitioner is allegedly the president of Giddalur Retired Employees Association, Giddalur. It is the case of the petitioner that the District Collector granted Acs.0.03 of land to the association for construction of a rest room to the retired employees of the town. The petitioner was appointed as President of the construction committee, which completed the work and also raised the bills before the Revenue Department. Be that as it is, subsequent to construction of the rest room, disputes arose between the petitioner and the fourth respondent at whose instance the second respondent conducted enquiry and held that the association represented by fourth respondent is fictitious body and recommended for handing over the building to the petitioner. It is alleged that though the second respondent submitted report on 04.4.2005, no orders are passed handing over the possession to the petitioner. Hence the present writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondents to allow the petitioner association to enjoy the rest room and that in respect of the same, the fourth respondent and others are interfering with the possession.

After getting instructions in the matter, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General) submits that the dispute between the petitioner and fourth respondent is purely a private dispute and the revenue officials are not interfering with the matter. He made statement that the Mandal Revenue Officer (MRO) is not at

all interfering with the affairs of the petitioner association. Therefore, whether or not the building constructed by Government Retired Employees Association is officially handed over to the petitioner, if the petitioner is in possession of the same, the respondents shall not interfere with the possession. Insofar as private dispute between the petitioner and fourth respondent is concerned, the petitioner may approach the civil court in which event the said Court may decide the matter without being influenced by any of the observations made herein above.

The writ petition, with the above observations and directions, is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

June 19, 2006

YS